

Arnaud QUIRIN – aquirin@gmail.com



Clustering non supervised







A challenge : the spiral

Objectives

- Non-supervised learning
- Goal : to organise objects in groups (= clusters)
- We need a similarity measure



Example



Based on the distance

Example



Based on the concept

Goal of the categorisation

- Group the data into a homogeneity criterion
- Criterion complex to define, can depend on :
 - the data
 - the target application
 - the subjectivity of the user

A good clustering ?

- Produce categories with a high quality
 - The intra-cluster similarity should be large
 - The inter-cluster similarity should be low
- The quality of the results depends on
 - The similarity measure and its implementation
 - The definition and the representation of a cluster
- The method could be evaluated using its ability to discover hidden patterns

Several kinds

× × × ×

7

(v) (o)

× × × × ×

• Exclusive clustering, hard clustering

××

×

× x ×

**×

• Soft, fuzzy clustering

Hierarchical clustering

Probabilistic clustering

Distance measure



Before scaling

After scaling

Measures

Notation

- { o_0 , ..., o_N } : N data samples
- K : number of the clusters
- g_k : gravity center of the cluster C_k
- σ_k : variance of the cluster \boldsymbol{C}_k
- $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$: variance of the full dataset

Minkowski measure

$$d_{p}(o_{i}, o_{j}) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{d} \left| o_{i,k} - o_{j,k} \right|^{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

- p=1 Manhattan distance
- p=2 Euclidian distance

Used for : data with a large number of attributes (d >> 3)

Distance measure

Criterion	Formula	Summary		
Intra-cluster inertia	$I_t = \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i \in C_k} \operatorname{dist}(o_i, g_k)^2 = \sum_{k=1}^K \sigma_k$	Variance between the samples and the gravity center of their clusters		
Compacity	$Cmp = \frac{1}{K} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{\sigma_k}{\sigma}$	Grouping degree		
Xie-Beni criterion (1991)	$XB = \frac{I_t}{N \cdot \min_{i,j \in K, i \neq j} (\operatorname{dist}(g_j, g_i))}$	Measure of the separation of the clusters, scale independent		
Wemmert- Gançarski criterion (1999)	$WG = \frac{I_t}{N \cdot \min_{o_i \in C_k, k' \neq k} (\operatorname{dist}(o_i, g_{k'}))}$	Separation and compacity of the clusters, scale independent		

Hard-Clustering : K-Means

K-Means (MacQueen, 1967)

Goal : minimize J

$$J = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| x_{i}^{(j)} - c_{j} \right\|^{2}$$

Distance measure between a data $\chi_i^{(j)}$ and the center of the cluster c_j

J is the distance between the n data points from the centers of their respective clusters

K-Means

The algorithm :

K-MEANS(K)

1. Place randomly K centers (centroids) in the space of the objects we have to categorize. Each cluster is represented by its corresponding centroid.

DO

2a. Assign to each object the cluster for which its centroid is the closest one

2b. When all the objects have be assigned, recompute all the K locations of the centroids, using the barycenter

UNTIL the locations converge

K-Means

Justification :

- N data sample : [X₁, ..., X_n]
- K clusters, with k<n
- m_i is the barycenter of the examples of the cluster i



Soft-Clustering : Fuzzy C-Means

Fuzzy C-Means (FCM) [Dunn, 1973; Bezdek, 1981]

- Now, a data can belong to two clusters or more
- Used very frequently for pattern recognition (ex: OCR)
- Goal : minimize this objective function J_m

$$J_{m} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{C} u_{ij}^{m} \|x_{i} - c_{j}\|^{2}, \quad 1 \le m < \infty$$

Soft-Clustering : Fuzzy C-Means

FUZZY-C-MEANS (K, m)

- Initialize randomly a matrix $U=[u_{ij}]$ $U^{(0)} = U$ (membership matrix)
- At the step *k*, do
 - Compute the centroids $C^{(k)} = [c_i]$ using $U^{(k)}$



$$u_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^{C} \left(\frac{\|x_i - c_j\|}{\|x_i - c_k\|}\right)^{\frac{2}{m-1}}}$$

1

 $c_{j} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} u_{ij}^{m} x_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} u_{ij}^{m}}$

While $|| U^{(k+1)} - U^{(k)} || > \varepsilon$

Soft-Clustering : Fuzzy C-Means



Comparison with K-Means



Basic concepts from (S.C. Johnson, 1967)

Given :

- N examples,
- A similarity matrix between all the examples N*N

Algorithm :

1. Start to assign each data into its own cluster. We define the distance between the clusters as the distance between the data they represent

DO

2a. Find the closest pair of clusters, and join them into the same cluster

2b. Compute the distance *d* between the remaining clusters (the new one, and all the remainings)

WHILE all the examples are not in the same cluster R (root), having the size N



How to compute the distance *d*(*i*,*j*) ?

single-linkage (ou minimum) :

d is the shortest distance between any element in the cluster *i* and any element in the cluster *j*.

complete-linkage (ou diameter) :

d is the biggest distance between any element in the cluster *i* and any element in the cluster *j*.

average-linkage :

d is the average distance between the elements of the two clusters.

```
UCLUS Method [D'Andrade 1978] :
Use of the median, and not the mean.
[0.0 0.1 0.1 <u>0.1</u> 0.3 0.4 2.5]
median mean = 0.5
```

Advantage : more robust for datasets having a lot of outliers.

Grouping categorization (ex: Johnson, 1967) : join iteratively the clusters

$$\neq$$

Spliting categorization (really rare) : start from one big cluster, and split it

Algorithm of (Johnson, 1967)

- Proximity matrix D = [d(i,j)] for two objects *i* and *j*.
- d(i,i) = 0
- d(i,j) = d(j,i)
- D : square matrix (NxN)
- Sequence of n clusters : (0), (1), ..., (n-1)
 - i, index of the cluster
 - (i), the content of the cluster I
- L(k) : k-th level of the categorization

(the distance intra-cluster are represented with a horizontal edge in the tree)

Proximity between (a) and (b) : d[(a),(b)]

Algorithm of (Johnson, 1967)

```
HIERARCHICAL-CLUSTERING ( D )
```

Put all the objects into a cluster. L(c) = 0 for any cluster c. m = 0

DO

- Find the pair of clusters (a) and (b) the most similar. d[(a),(b)] = min d[(i)(j)] for any cluster i, j.
- Increment the counter m = m+1. Join (a) et (b) and call it (m). Assign the level L(m) = d[(a),(b)].

- Update the proximity matrix D. Remove the lines/rows corresponding to (a) et (b) and create a line/row for (m). Compute the new values of D :

 $d[(k), (m)] = min \{ d[(k),(a)], d[(k),(b)] \}$ for any cluster (k) != (a) or (b)

WHILE L(m) < K

Problems with the grouping categorization :

- Not efficient with a lot of data.
- Time complexity: O(n²)
- Cannot reset the previous grouping

Applications

• Marketing : find similar consumers (same products bought, same behavior) in a supermarket database

- Biology : classification of animals, plants, ...
- Library : find groups of books, reviews, CD/DVD, ...
- Insurance, banking : find stocks with the same trends, identify similar appartments, markets, ... Identify strange behavior (cheaters)
- Urban plannification : identify parcels given their values, their types, their geographical location
- Terrestrial studies : groups and identify dangerous areas (tidal wave, tsunami, earthquake, ...)
- Web : automatic classification of a document base, using keywords (texts, images, musics, videos, ...)

Examples

• Thesis of Jean-Pierre Novak (2000)

(hyper-rectangular neural nets)



Examples

• Weka (free software for classification in Java)

套 Weka Explorer							_ 🗆 ×	1				
Preprocess Classify Cluster Associate Select attributes Visualize						rerX						
· · · ·				1	1	1 -	1	assify Cluster Associate Select attribute	es Visualize			
Open file	Open URL	Open DB	Generate	Undo	Edit	Save		CircularManage NIC C 10				
Filter	-							SimpleKMeans -N 5 -5 10				
Choose None	Choose Name			Apply			Clusterer output					
	Cobwe	·b					прріу	gset Number of iterations: 5				
Current relation	elation ex_bati-wekz EM			lected attribute Name: Indice_Miller Type: Numeric Variation (SS)			est set Set	within cluster sum	WICHIN Cluster sum of squared errors, 51.19204///1430030			
Relation: ex_bati-								e split % 66	Cluster centroids:			
Instances: 161	Hartne MakeD	strirst ensitvBasedClusterer		riissing: 0 (0%) Distinct: 157 Unique: 153 (95%			s)	clusters evaluation	Cluster 0			
Attributes	OPTIC	5	I	Statistic		Value		55 💌	Mean/Mode:	8053.4287 431.22	:06 0.5455 17504.155	
all 1	Simple	KMeans		nimum	0.076			ers for visualization	Std Devs:	5032.6635 130.19	62 0.1661 7022.748	
	- XMear	s		ximum	0.765			Ignore attributes	Mean/Mode:	4198.3802 569.07	31 0.1936 13120.984	
No.				dDev	0.247				Std Devs:	1603.2911 204.52	77 0.1068 4091.178	
1 🗖 Surfac	e_b					4	Weka Explo	rer: ¥isualizing ex_bati-weka.filters.u	insupervised.attribute.Remo	ove-R11-weka.filters.uns	supervised.attribute.Rem 💶 🗖 🗙	
2 🗖 Perime	tre_						· Diametre (Nu	m)	V: Perimetre, bati (N	slum)	-	
3 Indice_Mill				Coloury Cher (N			lam)	Select Instance	iuniy			
4 Surrac	e_Di						000011 (10005 (1	1 - 1 -				
6 Surfac	e Vi			ss: Class (Nom)		<u> </u>	Keset	Clear Sav	/8	Jitter		
7 Pource	ent_					PI	ot: ex_bati-we	ka.filters.unsupervised.attribute.Remove-l	R11-weka.filters.unsupervised.al	ttribute.Remove-R1-weka.fil	ters.unsupervised.attribute.Remove-R4,9	
8 🗖 Surfac	e_C						032.903		×			
9 Surfac	e_C					48			×*	Y		
10 Surf_o	IV_S tro											
12 Diame	re			34								
13 Class					23				× × × × ×	×		
							\$		ÚX X			
	D		1						** · · · ×	×.		
	Remove]				FO.4 570-			×××	1999 - Contract - Cont	
Chalum			0.08	3	0.43		554.579				100 C 100	
Status								× ½× ×				
UK								× * *		×		
								× xx ×x**				
								× ×				
							6.255	EC 12	1 0716	255 0979		
							13.0		4.0710	233.0079	•	
					ass colour							
							and ensemi	ole pavillon	activite			

Examples

• K-Means on real pictures





The Orangerie garden (Strasbourg, FR)



The saltmarshes of San Felice (Venice, IT)